IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

FRIDAY, THE 29TH JUNE 2007 / 8TH ASHADHA 1929

WP(C).No. 19971 of 2007(C)

PETITIONER:

NISHAD P.A., POTTAKKAMUKALIL,
PAZHAKKAPPILLY.P.O., MUVATTUPUZHA,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.C.T.RAVIKUMAR
SMT.K.S.SAIRA
SRI.A.ANILKUMAR

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. MAHATMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY,
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR,
KOTTAYAM.

2. CONTROLLLER OF EXAMINATIONS,
MAHATMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY,
KOTTAYAM.

BY SRI. T.A. SHAJI -SC

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 29/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

S. SIRI JAGAN, J.

W.P.(C)NO.19971 OF 2007

DATED THIS THE 29th DAY OF JUNE, 2007

JUDGMENT

Dissatisfied with the marks obtained by the petitioner in one paper in the VIIIth Semester of his B.Tech (Information Technology) examination, the petitioner has filed an application for scrutiny and revaluation of the the answer paper. The petitioner complains of delay in completing the revaluation process. The petitioner would submit that the next examination for the said paper is scheduled to be held during the 2nd half of July. Unless the petitioner knows the result of the revaluation, the petitioner may not be in a position to decide whether or not to write the next examination is the submission made by the petitioner.

2. The learned standing counsel for the University submits that the University would require at least two more months' time to complete the revaluation process, that too if the application has been received, pending and is in order. He also points out that the application was filed only on 11.6.07, even going by the averments in the writ petition.

3. I have heard both sides. In several writ petitions, I have taken a view that since the very purpose of revaluation is to enable the candidate to decide whether or not to write the next examination, the University has to complete the revaluation process before the next examination is scheduled. In the above circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of as follows.

The scrutiny of the answer paper of the petitioner shall be completed within one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. The revaluation process shall be completed as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, two days prior to the date of the next examination of the subject, the answer paper of which the petitioner seeks revaluation.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

S. SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE

Acd